1	INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF FLORAL PARK
2	BOARD OF TRUSTEES
3	November 1, 2016
4	
5	PRESENT
6	MAYOR THOMAS J. TWEEDY
7	TRUSTEE KEVIN M. FITZGERALD
8	TRUSTEE DOMINICK A. LONGOBARDI
9	TRUSTEE LYNN POMBONYO
10	TRUSTEE ARCHIE T. CHENG
11	GERARD M. BAMBRICK, ESQ.
12	JOHN RYAN, ESQ., VILLAGE ATTORNEY
13	STEPHEN McALLISTER, POLICE COMMISSIONER
14	SUSAN E. WALSH, VILLAGE CLERK
15	
16	
17	Application of: Local Law No. 5 of 2016,
18	Appointment of the Commissioner of Police
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported By: MARY ANNE COPPINS, Court Reporter
24	
25	

MAYOR TWEEDY: Before we begin the next Local Law, the discussion, I've received -- our Village Clerk has asked that if you wished to comment before the next Local Law, if you would be kind enough to fill out one of these forms.

The reason we do that is, first, I think it gives people an opportunity to listen to what people are saying who may be anxious about the opportunity to speak. You may find, indeed, your question has been asked, so your concern has been addressed before you go.

I have a significant number of people who have filled out slips.

Again, if anyone further would like to, who hasn't had the opportunity to fill one out; otherwise, we will open up the podium for anyone who would like to address the Board.

So at this time, we will move on to proposed Local Law No. 5 of 2016, and I would ask our Village Clerk, Susan Walsh, to kindly read the Public Notice.

MS. WALSH: Thank you, Mayor

Tweedy.

Notice of Public Hearing of the Village of Floral Park proposed Local Law No. 5 of 2016.

Please take notice that pursuant to Article 9 of the New York State Constitution, the provisions of the Code of the Village of Floral Park and the Municipal Home Rule Law of the State of New York, a public hearing will be held in the Incorporated Village of Floral Park at Village Hall, One Floral Boulevard, Floral Park, New York on the 1st day of November, 2016 at 8:00 in the evening of that day, to consider the enactment of a Local Law entitled a Local Law amending Section 25.4A of the Code of the Incorporated Village of Floral Park regarding the appointment of the Commissioner of Police. This Local Law shall take effect immediately upon its filing with the Secretary of State.

By Order of the Board of Trustees,
Village of Floral Park, New York, Susan
E. Walsh, Village Clerk. The legal

5

6

7

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

2.5

notice was dated October 26, 2016. 1 MAYOR TWEEDY: Thank you very much, 2 3 Ms. Walsh. Once again, I would like to place 4 on the record that the Village Board has 5 previously adopted a negative 6 declaration, meaning that the proposed 7 Local Law has no significant impact upon 8 the environment. And I would like to read a 10 statement as it relates to this Local 11 12 Law. Tonight we consider a Local Law 13 updating the language of Section 25-4A 14 of the Code of the Incorporated Village 15 of Floral Park which was adopted in 1984 16 so as to codify the Board of Trustees 17 discretionary authority to appoint the 18 Commissioner of Police. 19 In 1984 the position of 20 Commissioner was adopted where the pool 21 of eligible applicants was limited 22 within the rights of the Floral Park 23 2.4 Police Department.

25

In 2000, former Mayor Steve Corbett

22

23

24

25

expanded that pool of eligible candidates by adopting wording that permitted the Village Board to consider qualifications of those meeting eligibility requirements from beyond the Village Police Department. That sage decision by that Mayor and Village Board was in response to the expanding and new complexities of criminal behaviors that all police agencies faced. decision, mirroring the changing demands and needs of law enforcement, expanded the pool of eligible applicants and Floral Park's residents would receive the best from a pool of the best, including the ranks of the Floral Park Police Department.

Law enforcement today, again, is in the midst of a complex and challenging landscape which demands strong, ethical and courageous leadership. The Village has always sought police leaders who can provide management skill and exceptional leadership ability, while building trust in the community and modeling ethical

behavior on and off the job, while identifying and developing upcoming leaders and providing guidance for such internal change. September 11th dramatically impacted our daily lives, but it has also impacted and expanded the role of police officers and police training. Today, we now additionally encounter the phenomena of active shooter incidents, since such areas as Columbine, Virginia Tech, Sandy Hook, San Bernardino and recently in Orlando, Florida.

The current Code provisions, in place since before September 11, 2001, merely set forth the bare minimum requirements for the position of Police Commissioner. The enhanced criteria require more extensive command level police experience and significant educational and police executive command training. The criteria that the Village Board is adopting are based on the guidelines established by the International Association of Chiefs of

Police and the Police Executive Research
Forum. The Village Board believes that
any individual in the position of
Village Police Commissioner must possess
the requisite police command experience,
education and executive training so that
the Commissioner can ensure the proper
training of the entire department in
order to protect and serve the residents
of this Village. These changes are to
increase the level of qualifications
necessary, not to reduce them.

The safety and security of the
Village of Floral Park should be
entrusted to the leadership of a person
with the highest qualifications. People
mockingly derive our community as
Mayberry, USA and we do enjoy a
wonderful sense of peace and security;
but that comes at a great price that the
residents treasure and by the
administration of expanded training in
response to today's changing law
enforcement environment. Expanding the
requirements and qualifications for the

position of Commissioner is a natural progression of the demands placed upon today's law enforcement leaders. We require that the best, most experienced and qualified leadership to hold the position of Police Commissioner and this proposed Local Law speaks to that need.

Today's Commissioner must have an understanding of the complexities of policing village police forces like

Floral Park, but must also have the interagency contacts and working relationships with the NYPD, Nassau

County PD, Port Authority PD, MTA PD, the Nassau County District Attorney investigators, as well as federal agencies like the Drug Enforcement

Administration and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

Presently, we have a Commissioner
who has those hard-earned working
relationships and our Police Department
and our Village have each reaped great
benefits through his contacts and we
should expect no less from any future

Commissioner of Police.

For nearly 100 years, the Floral
Park Police Department has proudly
provided our Village and her residents
with faithful service any vigilant
protection. This Local Law enables this
department to continue, unwaveringly, on
that mission and its great tradition, a
commitment to excellence with its focus
securely fixed on the future.

So, at this time, I will be asking as they came in, I received a few more, as they came, I am going to read them as they were filled out.

First, we have Thomas De Maria of 200 West Poplar Street, Floral Park.

MR. De MARIA: Thank you Mayor,

Board of Trustees. I am Tom De Maria, I

live at 200 West Poplar Street in Floral

Park.

I come before you tonight as a 36-year resident of this Village. I come before you as a former Trustee, having sat up there for a number of years, and, in particular, in the 1990s

when a Local Law was proposed, to open up the requirements for the Commissioner of Police to go outside the Village.

I vehemently opposed that and the Local Law was eventually, after public hearing, was eventually turned down, fortunately or unfortunately, as you look at it. In 2000 that was changed, so now you can go outside the Village of Floral Park Police Department in order to get a Commissioner. I understand the reasons for that and I understand the criteria you used and discussed; however, and even more importantly, my dad was a retired Detective. I grew up in Queens understanding the way police officers think and how they live, and their dedication to their job.

The proposed amendment, and I understand this is tied into a resolution, which, unfortunately, I have not had the opportunity to see because I understand it was just published on the website within the hour, and I have not seen the resolution and criteria. I can

mentioned; however, it's my humble opinion that the criteria, as it exists, is perfectly fine, especially in the setting for the Village. The extensive criteria that you are trying to put into this resolution seems a bit much.

I take this very seriously, having been an attorney for 36 years and having been directly involved with law enforcement administration and quasi-law enforcement for over 22 years, which brings some expertise to what I am saying.

It just seems to me that we have a number of qualified individuals. If you look around the courtroom, there are several here tonight that could easily fill the role of the Commissioner, in a very positive way, and in an excellent manner and bring honor to the Village.

Nothing against the current

Commissioner, I think Steve has done a

terrific job. It has nothing to do with

him personally, but I understand that,

21

22

23

24

25

perhaps, I am wrong, that he has been denied a waiver from the Civil Service Commission, and perhaps that is why you are trying to change the credentials so it fits Steve's qualifications. I don't know, you didn't mention that at all. As it stands -- and I understand the essence of the waiver having been --Steve having been a former police officer in the City and retired from the It's essential to show police force. that to the State, the Department of Civil Service, that there is no one else that would possess the credentials of this person, and then they would give you the waiver to keep him in employment in the position of Commissioner.

I just think that it is not necessary to raise the standards to such a high level that you would get very few people that would be able to qualify for that. I also don't agree with the idea of adopting resolutions as the Board sees fit, with all deference to this Board and with all due respect. This is

a law that is promulgated which will take future generations, unless it's changed, so that your successors could essentially then do whatever they please and change the credentials for the Police Commissioner. I think it's essential that when one joins the force, there is an anticipation, an aspiration to go to higher rank.

Anyone who joins as patrolman, they pay their dues, some day they would like to become a sergeant. That sergeant would like to one day become a lieutenant, and they would like to essentially, and eventually, possibly, become the Commissioner. There is only one Commissioner. There are a couple of lieutenants, a few sergeants. So in a small force like this, you take away the motivation of the new people coming on the job to aspire to higher rank.

I think that has a demoralizing effect on the entire police force.

So I think it should be left alone. Allow these fine men and women who

represent us every day to have the

opportunity to go up the ladder and have

the opportunity to aspire to being a

Commissioner.

I understand you can go outside, that law was changed, fine. But when you have a few that are capable, I would prefer that the person who is Police Commissioner has served in this Village, knows the Village, knows the insides and outs. It's very important, as you just said, to have relations with other departments and agencies. I have been there, I accept that. I agree with you on that. But it's also important that that same person has walked the streets. It's also important that that same person has eaten in the restaurants and shopped in the food stores and gone into the bank, and knows the ins and outs of this community, that has a finger on the pulse of the community, the residents, the business owners.

I think, in summation, it's obvious
I am opposed to this Local Law and I

2324

19

20

21

22

1 thank you for your time. MAYOR TWEEDY: Thank you, very 2 3 much. Mr. Everett White, 275 Carnation. 4 5 MR. WHITE: I will defer to some of 6 the other people. I'm sure they are 7 more versed with what is going on, so I 8 will defer. 9 MAYOR TWEEDY: Thank you, very 10 much. 11 David Fernandez, 39 Cunningham 12 Avenue. 13 MR. FERNANDEZ: David Fernandez, 39 14 Cunningham Avenue. 15 Thank you very much for giving me 1.6 this opportunity. 17 The reason I am here tonight is 18 there are two parallel things going on 19 here that are troublesome. It is not a 20 discussion on Steve's performance as 21 Commissioner. I think everybody in this 22 Village knows he's done a wonderful job. 23 But there's an undercurrent that needs 2.4 to be addressed as to whether or not 25 this process that we are going through

requirements for him to be able to remain there. And while that may not be the case, it is up to you to dispel that, because that's the vibe we get from that, that's one side of the

conversation.

The other side of the conversation is, and that I have a problem with is, we are here tonight because this is part of the Local Law, the requirements are part of the Local Law and they are set forth there. So you have to have this public hearing to have us address that change that you want to make. And the change you are trying to make is you're putting this into the power for the qualifications for this position to be put into the power of the Board by resolution. We would not be sitting here tonight having this conversation if that were the case, because you could adopt that resolution without coming to the public and going over what those requirements are.

9 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12 13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

24

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As you can tell by the people here tonight, we care about those qualifications. We are not saying that those qualifications need to be lessened, or what the qualifications need to be, but the fact that we have to have a voice, and the fact they want to be changed is key.

I would agree with the gentleman before that the biggest thing that bothers me about the current proposed regulations you're putting into place is basically you're putting in a requirement that boxes out all of our current police officers from possibly every attaining the position of Commissioner. By putting the last requirement that they have to go FBI school, or get the extra training that requires extra effort on their part to go and get that work, which is not possible for them to do unless they get a sabbatical from the department of the Village and pay for them to attend, it basically puts them out of the running

completely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As the gentleman said, when you come on as a beat officer, you want to be able to go up the ladder. You want to, at one point or another, aspire to be that Commissioner. You can't do that with that requirement. Not to say that there are not requirements that could be satisfied or to change it so that the requirements are something that can be met, because we are all for good policing, we're all for them being as educated as they need to be. I think that's what we want, we want to be Mayberry. But let's make it so that we have incentive for our guys and gals who are protecting us to be able to come in and do their job and move up the ladder. So rather than rehash what he said, because a lot of what he said was all in my notes, which is good, thank you very much.

I would like you consider those two things. I think that you really need to address the first, because really, to

1 us, this came out, when it comes to us 2 in social media it's a wild thing, and 3 it looks to us like you're creating 4 this change and putting it into place so that you can address the waiver issue 5 for Steve and keep him in the job. That 6 7 may or may not be the case. I am not 8 saying Steve is doing a bad job. We 9 think he's doing a great job, but if 10 that's the motivation behind it, we should know about it. 11 The resolution issue is very 12 13 important because taking that away from us, takes us out of this room. 14 15 Thank you for your time. 16 MAYOR TWEEDY: Thank you, very 17 much. Arthur Walsh, 347 Plainfield 18 19 Avenue. 20 MR. WALSH: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. The big issue I have here is you 21 22 mention in your statement it was for 23 expansion, I see it as an exclusion. 24 As counsel said, the requirements 25 that have now been proposed, and there

1 are four of them, all effectively knock out the whole Police Department.

> I think it's important for someone whose lived in this Village continuously for over 50 years it should be someone from the inside. Steve was the first one they hired from the outside. when you guys did hire him, you passed -- you submitted a waiver application of the 211 of the Civil Service Law. You specifically said the reason why you're asking for the waiver is because the Village Administrator indicated it would take at least two or three years for someone within the department to assume the title of Commissioner of Police.

What you're telling us tonight is completely contrary to that. Steve was hired, the first outside person, to temporarily train someone and that's what the Village represented to the State at the time of his hire, and the time of his application.

What you have now laid out here is completely contrary to that.

22

21

23

requirement like a Master's Degree. Do
you realize that Bill Bratton,
throughout numerous Police Departments
did not have a Master's Degree. Howard
Safir didn't have a Master's Degree.
Why does Floral Park need someone with a
Master's Degree? Why do we need someone
with FBI training? What you've
effectively done is knocked out the
whole force who aspire to have this job.

I think all of you in government are familiar with the former mayors. If you look around, it was only in 1955 did anyone become a mayor that was not a trustee. Why can't we have the same here. Why can't one of the policeman become a Commissioner one day. The way you've written this, it is never going to happen.

I know Steve probably longer than any of you. I went to high school with him. He's doing a great job. But why are we dealing with this now when he has a contract that ends probably 18 months from now. So I am not sure what the

urgency is, why you put this on minimum 1 2 notice, and why, in the last few days, different information was put on the 3 website. 4 5 I think it's the wrong move, I think you should guys should vote 6 7 against it, I think it's only fair to the Village and only fair to the Police 8 9 Department. Thank you. 10 11 MAYOR TWEEDY: Thank you, very 12 much. Jack Timlon, 160 Ash. 13 14 Good evening. MR. TIMLON: Good evening, how are 15 all of you tonight. 16 MAYOR TWEEDY: Good, thank you. 17 MR. TIMLON: I'm a retired police 18 officer and I don't know all of the 19 20 particulars of this hearing, what this is all about. But I will tell you in my 21 22 experience that you can have somebody 23 with credentials to the end of time, that doesn't make them more qualified 24 25 than somebody who lived in this Police

1 Department, who has gone through the 2 ranks and now is qualified as a 3 lieutenant, to be considered for the 4 Police Commissioner's position. 5 I think they should be looked at 6 individually, on their own merits, take into consideration everything else you 7 might think should be necessary. But I 8 9 don't think they should be cut out just 10 because you want somebody that went to 11 the FBI Academy. Lots of times, I have 12 witnessed it, somebody who went to the 13 FBI Academy was a fool. He couldn't 14 find somebody selling trinkets on Covert 15 Avenue. So what I am saying is 16 everybody with all of these great 17 qualifications does not make them any better than any one of these gentlemen. 18 19 That's all I want to say. 20 MAYOR TWEEDY: Thank you, very 21 much. 22 William Lauria, 26 Pansy. 23 MR. LAURIA: Good evening, Mayor, 24 Village Board. My name is William 25 Lauria and I am the Second

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 vears. 8 9 10 11 12 Floral Park. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Police Department. In light of the fact that we 21 22 Commissioner, as well as two 23 24

25

Vice-President of the Floral Park Police Benevolent Association. I am here tonight representing the members of the Floral Park Police Benevolent Association. I have been a member of the Floral Park Police Department for 27 I was appointed to the department in August of 1989. I have been a resident of Floral Park for my entire life. I went to school in Floral Park and I've raised my children in

Members of the PBA and I, as well as our family, friends and neighbors are here tonight because of the publication in the Gateway last week regarding the proposal of Local Law 5-2016, which relates to the qualifications of the Police Commissioner of the Floral Park

currently have a serving Police lieutenants, six sergeants within the Police Department that meet the

qualifications under Section 25-4 of the current Floral Park Village ordinance, many of us have questions.

Excluding the current Commissioner, this position has been historically filled by a member of the Floral Park Police Department. The Village Ordinance also allows the Village Board to appoint any other police officer in the State of New York from outside of the Floral Park Police Department who has reached the rank of police lieutenant and has at least 10 years of service. This, in effect, gives you an enormous pool of applicants.

The Floral Park Police Department is 99 years old. It will celebrate its 100th anniversary in 2018. We've enjoyed historically low crime rates, maintained the integrity of the Village, served with pride and dignity and maintained professionalism that is above reproach.

The question is, why is this law necessary now. By reading of the

proposed law, there will be no set qualifications, but, rather, the qualifications will be set by Board resolution at the Board's discretion.

Conspicuously absent is the requirement of being a police officer of any rank.

The second question, in today's day and age, is it wise to have someone with no police experience to potentially be the executive of the Floral Park Police Department.

Absent from the proposed law is language, as in the current law, that relates to a police officer candidate from within the Floral Park Police

Department being able to take a leave of absence from his current rank in order to take the promotion. Also missing is the ability to revert back to his or her previous civil service rank if his or her police commissioner contract is not renewed.

The third question is why have these provisions been removed.

Commission McAllister has been serving

for over six years. Why is it now 1 2 suddenly necessary to make these 3 changes. We thank you for your time and 4 5 consideration, and we particularly thank the members of the Floral Park Police 6 Department who are present here tonight, 7 as well as their families, friends and 8 neighbors who are here tonight in 9 support of the Floral Park Police 10 11 Department. MAYOR TWEEDY: Thank you. 12 Ken Fairben, 35 Bergen Street. 13 14 MR. FAIRBEN: Good evening, Mr. Mayor, Village Board. I'm not going to 15 continue the conversation, as it has 16 been clearly stated. 17 My only feelings on this is of a 18 personal nature. There are men and 19 20 women sitting in this room that have been by Diane and I at the worst times 21 in our lives. There's a human part to 22 these people here you can't ignore. 23 They are more Floral Park than many of 24

the people that I know.

There is

nothing these people would not give you if you asked them. 3 My feelings are this is totally inappropriate. They've earned a right. They demonstrate that right day in and day out, to have the opportunity to advance. I only ask the Village Board to take that into consideration and give

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these people that opportunity. Everyone sitting here is very, very qualified. You know that personally, you've all had dealings with them of a personal nature, whether it be in an emergency room or whatever it may be. These people you can ask not for better. You can keep within your own department the high caliber that this Village has also enjoyed. I've witnessed it all my life. I ask, please, that you don't pass this resolution. Keep our people here. Give them that opportunity to serve this

MAYOR TWEEDY: Thank you, very much.

Village continually. Thank you.

Gilbert Luger, 22 Emerson.

1	Thank you, pass.
2	Brian Luger, 22 Emerson.
3	Okay, thank you.
4	Diane Fairben, 35 Bergen.
5	Okay, thank you.
6	Thomas Holtz, 267 Whittier.
7	Thank you.
8	Dominic DiMaggio, 64 Cypress.
9	Thank you, sir.
10	Marco Conell, 327 Carnation Avenue.
11	All right, thank you.
12	Rose Tsoupras, 129 Locust.
13	William Doherty, 85 Birch.
14	No, thank you.
15	Debra Doherty, thank you.
16	Megan Marcello, 34 Sycamore.
17	Robert Guglielmo, 31 Beverly.
18	Joe Pelletieri, 90 Elizabeth.
19	MR. PELLETIERI: No.
20	MAYOR TWEEDY: Okay, thank you.
21	Karen Sica, 2 Holland Avenue.
22	Pamela Tietjen, 15 Beverly, okay.
23	I can't make this one out Larry
24	looks like P-U-G-H, no, okay.
25	Meredith Norton?

1	No, okay, thank you.
2	Thomas Seville.
3	MR. SEVILLE: Declined.
4	MAYOR TWEEDY: Richard Pfeiffer.
5	MR. PFEIFFER: No.
6	MAYOR TWEEDY: Vincent Hartenfels,
7	9 Spooner.
8	John Muccio, 126 Oak Street.
9	Yes.
10	MR. MUCCIO: How you doing.
11	MAYOR TWEEDY: Good evening.
12	MR. MUCCIO: So as I sat here and
13	listened to some of what the fine
14	gentlemen had to say, and as you said in
15	your opening, I understand that the law
16	as it stands now is written in such a
17	way that the Floral Park residents
18	receive, as you said, the best from the
19	pool from the best pool of candidates
20	possible.
21	From what I am hearing about the
22	enhanced criteria you put in place,
23	wouldn't that limit the candidate pool
24	quite extensively? That's my thought.
25	If you have FBI training that is

required and they can't take sabbatical,
you're limiting your candidate pool and,
therefore, you're limiting the resources
and candidates available to best serve

your citizens.

Another thing that struck me here, from a qualification standpoint and from the reason why the current Commissioner was appointed in 2010 and why he received a waiver of the pension from New York State, was because he was going to take two to three years to train his replacement. It's been six years. what have we done to enhance our current ranks to make sure that we have the people coming from the bottom up that we need to in order to effectively train them. It's six years now and we're going to change this law to do what, exactly. It seems like it is being custom-tailored so that way we can extend the length of the current Commissioner.

Everybody acknowledges he has done a great job. But I think it's a little

1

2

3

2324

2.5

20

21

1	bit discouraging to the current ranks,
2	and that's already been said.
3	Those were a couple of observations
4	I wanted to make sure you guys heard.
5	Thank you.
6	MAYOR TWEEDY: Thank you, very
7	much.
8	Brett Hynes, 23 Remsen.
9	Chris Snyder, 30 Florence Street.
10	No, okay.
11	Dick Kazinski, Richard Kazinski, 53
12	Larch.
13	The last one I have is David
14	Denkers, 44 Terrace Avenue.
15	MR. DENKERS: No, thank you.
16	MAYOR TWEEDY: No, okay.
17	At this time, I would ask for a
18	motion for a 10-minute recess, if we
19	could.
20	MR. RYAN: Mayor, before you do
21	that, is there anybody else who wants to
22	speak?
23	MAYOR TWEEDY: I'm sorry, thank you
24	very much, Mr. Ryan.
25	At this time, I would ask if anyone

2.4

would like to speak, and if you would, would you be so kind to give your name and address and then we'll move forward from there.

Thank you very much, Mr. Ryan.

MR. WEINGAND: Good evening. My name is Mark Weigand. I live at 14 Shelly Court in Smithtown, New York.

Mr. Mayor, Members of the Board, first I would like to thank you for the opportunity to be speaking. I don't know if anybody really has knowledge of my background, I have my back to them.

I am presently a sergeant with this department and very proud to be in this position. 26 years ago, probably this month, I raised my hand and started my career here.

The last six years, Commissioner

McAllister has served this department

distinguishably. I would like to thank

him for that leadership. Unfortunately,

by standing up here today and speaking,

obviously in opposition of this Local

Law, I sadly think my -- and probably

know my career has just about come to an end because the new law basically eliminates a sergeant from advancing to the position of commissioner, and also basically knocks out my compatriots that are presently sergeants who don't have the qualifications, many of the lieutenants that don't have the ability to attend the FBI Academy on their day off or whatever.

But, I guess, more importantly, I would like to ask a question of the Mayor or the Village Administrator or whomever can answer the question.

It seems that on two occasions of this year, May and September, the present command structure of the Police Department to lieutenants and the sergeants were deemed unqualified on two occasions, once in May, and once in September, before this Local Law is even enacted or thought about being enacted tonight.

It just seems like under the law from 1984, 25-4A, we certainly qualify

for that position, and certainly for the amended law that occurred in 2000, we were still deemed qualified. Maybe we were not the strongest candidates, but we were qualified. But the statement was made on behalf of the Village to the New York State Civil Service Commission that we were all deemed unqualified.

I took personal offense to that.

I stand here today acknowledging that I am not the strongest candidate and I applaud you, Mr. Mayor, for six years ago when you, in your heart, you picked the strongest candidate, and Commissioner McAllister has served us well. We don't dispute his service, his dedication or his qualifications. But to be misrepresented in public, I took offense to that, and I am sure my colleagues did as well.

Going forward, I would like to
thank the people behind me, the
residents that got up here and spoke
from the heart. Maybe my career
advancement is over, but my job here is

1	not going to be over. I am going to
2	come here working every day on behalf of
3	them, the people who stand behind me,
4	the people that make this Village great.
5	Again, I would like to thank you,
6	Mr. Mayor, for the opportunity to speak
7	and the Board for your service. I would
8	like to thank the best Police Department
9	in the world, some of them that showed
10	up here tonight, police officers,
11	sergeants and lieutenants.
12	I would also like to thank the
13	residents that stood up and said very
14	kind words to us and gave us the
15	strength to keep going.
16	Thank you, very much.
17	MAYOR TWEEDY: I saw two hands.
18	Frank, would you like to come
19	forward?
20	MR. LEIGHTON: Really, I just have
21	one question.
22	MAYOR TWEEDY: I would ask just
23	your name for the record.
24	MR. LEIGHTON: Frank Leighton, 41
25	Magnolia Avenue, Floral Park.

Does anybody on the Board have law enforcement experience? Nobody does.

That's the only question. I guess the answer is no.

Thank you.

MR. SEXTON: Matthew Sexton, 201
Verbena Avenue.

In January, when we were fighting the casino and we marched, the march went from Floral Park Memorial High School, the corner of the Floral Park property to Belmont Park. At the end of the march there was a hysterical mother who said, I lost my son, I lost my son.

I turn around, we all turn around, we don't see the Nassau County Police, we don't see the New York State Police, we see the Floral Park Police and Commissioner McAllister. We see the Floral Park police in action, radioing back. This young man, he was not even a teenager, was found.

I mention that because we both need each other. I hope I am speaking for everyone. If I'm not, just give me all

the dirt you want to give me. But I think the Commissioner has done a fantastic job. But on the same token, I believe the rank and file have done such a fantastic job that without him, I don't know if you would be able to say he's done a fantastic job.

After sitting here and listening tonight, I can definitely understand the concerns of our rank and file police force, as it seems many of them in the future will no longer be qualified to be the Commissioner of Police.

There is a solution to this, there is a compromise. Have this law, if you decide to move forward with it, sunset it in two years. Have it revert back to the old law so that the rank and file officer with the Floral Park Police Department can say, when the contract ends, maybe now I have a chance.

I hope this doesn't create dissension within the ranks, because all you guys act as a unit, all you guys make this town great, and all you guys

-- without you, nobody would want to 1 live her. So I hope it doesn't lead to 2 3 any dissension. 4 I also hope that a political solution, something that makes these 5 ladies and gentlemen who put their lives 6 7 on the line for every single one of us, as well as Commissioner McAllister, that 8 some sort of solution can be met so that 9 10 they at least feel some day they can earn the right to that job. 11 Thank you. 12 13 MAYOR TWEEDY: Thank you, very much. 14 Is there anyone further? 15 Yes, please. 16 MS. GUARDALA: Hi, Lucille 17 Guardala, 95 Holland Avenue. 18 19 MAYOR TWEEDY: Good evening. MS. GUARDALA: Good evening. 20 I thank the Board for the 21 opportunity to address them very 22 23 briefly. While I know that the current head 24 25 of the FBI will probably be looking for

work, I see the need to create criteria

to become police commissioner for Floral

Park, that might equal that.

But seriously, rising through the ranks is certainly a tradition throughout Police Departments. I believe now within the City of New York, that is the case.

The other thing I would like to mention, as we talk about FBI school and all of that, I recall a budget hearing when I was on the Board that was extremely contentious, as they often are. One of the points made about our Police Department is that our solve rate was higher than the FBI, which, when you look at the statistics, it's pretty damn poor.

The other thing I would mention,
Mr. Mayor, as you so eloquently
expressed talking about the changes in
police work since 9/11, talking about
the night club shootings and all of that
kind of thing, one of the biggest trends
in police work is community policing,

what we have been doing for the last 100 1 2 years, and why do we need to change now. 3 Thank you. MAYOR TWEEDY: Mr. Gagliano. MR. GAGLIANO: My name is Ron 5 Gagliano, I am a Sergeant for the Floral 6 Park Police Department. 7 8 I was born in this town, grew up in this town, went to school in this town, 9 worked in town my entire life, some part 10 11 of it, my entire life has been connected 12 with this town. 13 The first thing I want to say it is 14 not easy getting up here tonight, 15 obviously, talking against this law, this resolution in front of you. 16 are all, directly or indirectly, our 17 My boss is here. I'm not 18 bosses. speaking against him at all, it has 19 20 nothing to do with him. 21 A couple of points I would like to make. I had no idea I was going to be 22 23 talking. I wrote a few things down, written tonight, as you can see. 24

It seems that the way this is going

it wasn't done above the table. It was all done at the last minute, at least publicly at the last minute. Correct me if I'm wrong, it was only announced last Wednesday in the Gateway, not even a week ago. This is a serious thing affecting this Police Department.

I don't care about -- I don't mean
I don't care about -- I don't care about
him and anything else people were
talking about with upstate or anything.
He can be -- he's hired here now, he's
good for another 18 months, he can be
here for 18 years, I don't care. I care
about the law change that is here that
you're saying you're going to change it,
then make it a resolution.

Again, you're saying now that you have all these strict requirements. Am I correct in the fact that you are making this resolution, what you are going to make is that you can change, at any given time without a hearing like this, is that correct?

Can you change the requirements of

1

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Village Law of the Village requirements of Commissioner at any time being that it's a resolution?

MR. RYAN: Yes.

MR. GAGLIANO: Thank you.

With that being said, a couple of other points I'd like to make. But with that being said, right now you have a whole bunch of strict requirements which knock anybody out from the Floral Park Police Department, except Commissioner McAllister, which is fine, let him sit on for 18 more years. But when he is gone, whether it be tomorrow, next week, next month or in 18 months when he leaves, whether he gets another job or whether he leaves, or all of a sudden you're not happy with him, whenever there is another opening, what does that Number one, from what I believe, do. you can now change that resolution at the drop of a hat, make it be -- with no disrespect, you or you or you, I believe that is correct, or a brother or a relative. It can be anybody.

I'm concerned about that. I'm probably not going to get the job.

I was interviewed for it years back, didn't get it, fine. But there's a lot of people here that are capable that are going to be here a long, long time.

When I was hired back in 1984, one of the things, and I spoke to Commissioner McAllister about this yesterday, one of things my brother told me, whose a Nassau County Police Officer, he said it's a small job. There are pluses and minuses. You live here, great. One of the minuses is there is not a lot of room for advancement. So everybody knows that going in.

If you look at what it's been, I thought just real quickly now, about every four years or so there is a promotion to sergeant. That is not a lot, somewhere around four or five years there is a promotion to sergeant. I made Sergeant in 1993. There was one sergeant promoted to lieutenant I think

about a year after that. Since then, in 22, 23 years, one promotion to lieutenant, only one. That doesn't give people a lot to look forward to.

So when the opportunity does come up now, six years ago, for whatever reason there was going to be a higher spot there was an opening, the more people get advanced, get advanced. Well, six years ago there was an opening for Commissioner, which meant a police officer was going to be made -assumably, a police officer was going to be made sergeant, sergeant was probably going to be made lieutenant, and the lieutenant made a commissioner. three people, three jobs stymied at that point and did not get the chance to be promoted, who deserve, who went through the ranks and were here their entire police career. That is very discouraging and demoralizing.

This is not anything against Commissioner McAllister. I can't say that enough. But it is very

19

22

23

24

demoralizing to people here. There are a lot of guys here, and ladies that are going to be here 20, 30 years. a job like -- let's say he is gone and to take from the outside, again, it's very demoralizing to people who look forward to something. And then three or 7 four years maybe a promotion, then boom, the rug is pulled out from under them again.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In May of 2010 when you did the interviews for commissioner we all knew you were looking for a commissioner. got letters from the Village Board. Some of you were on the Board then, some of you were not. We all got letters inviting us for an interview.

From then, every two years, the same thing is supposed to be happening. We never got notified about anything. I know there is word it was advertised We have a bulletin board in our here. Police Department that I have seen advertisements on there for Public Works workers, for librarians, for tree

trimmers, for everything. I've never, in three times where there was a chance for a commissioner, I've never seen an advertisement for Police Commissioner in a Police Department. That would be the place to advertise. We were not made aware of these things.

Again, I guess my main thing is, can that happen where you can hire someone at anytime when Commissioner McAllister decides to retire that is not from the Police Department? I believe that can be and that is absolutely incorrect, it is not right. It is not proper to do that.

Now you're, again, telling people like Sergeant Weigand said before, we were told we are not qualified, we are incapable; not true. I am capable and there are a lot of people more qualified than I am. There are people here that are qualified. And that job opening, I want it to stay that way, where it has to be from within the department. Even if it wasn't changed, you still have a

1 big, big, pool of police officers from other departments that can fill these 3 shoes and do the job. I guess that's it. 4 5 MAYOR TWEEDY: Thank you, very 6 much. 7 I would ask, once again, if there 8 is anyone who would like to comment? 9 Yes, Mr. De Maria, second time 10 around. 11 MR. De MARIA: Thank you for 12 indulging me again. This will be very brief. 13 14 I don't think people in the 15 audience understand that when you pass 16 up your opportunity to speak -- this 17 public hearing is on the record -- if 18 you came up and said you oppose it or 19 you are in favor of it, that would be 20 reflected in the record, rather than 21 declining. 22 So to make this brief, I would ask, 23 with your indulgence, if there is anyone 24 who is opposed to this public --25 MAYOR TWEEDY: All direction, Tom,

1	with all due respect, any direction will
2	come from the Board. I appreciate your
3	coming up a second time. I think we
4	have a pretty good sense of where people
5	stand. I really believe we understand
6	where things are. I don't think we need
7	to go into that. Appreciate your coming
8	up. Thank you very much.
9	MR. De MARIA: Thank you.
10	MAYOR TWEEDY: Anyone further at
11	this time?
12	(No response.)
13	I would ask for a motion for a
14	10-minute recess. I mean, it will be 10
15	minutes.
16	TRUSTEE CHENG: I'll move.
17	TRUSTEE FITZGERALD: Second.
18	MAYOR TWEEDY: All in favor?
19	TRUSTEE LONGOBARDI: Aye.
20	TRUSTEE POMBONYO: Aye.
21	MAYOR TWEEDY: Folks, give us 10
22	minutes.
23	(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
24	MAYOR TWEEDY: Thank you ladies and
25	gentlemen. Forgive us, it was a

12-minute recess, but I do appreciate your staying.

A few comments I would like to make.

First off, this is a deliberate body. We certainly will wait for the transcript and we will assess and read all of the comments that were made, including all of the statements made by our residents.

I want it to be clear. I think it's important that everyone know that the Village of Floral Park did not rise, by an independent rating agency, to be the third safest city in the state and the 17th safest city in the United States without the effective use of many in this community, most importantly, many of the men and women of the Floral Park Police Department, its Commissioner and certainly the effective use of our residents in this Village. As I said in my opening statement, we give much of our credit to live in the community we do. And our Floral Park Police

Department, as we have all been on record today, Floral Park would not be Floral Park without its own police force, something we are celebrating, it now coming up on 100 years.

This Village Board certainly continues to support the training, the training initiatives that have been established by Police Commissioner McAllister. We've certainly seen -- we certainly were speaking about the transition from 1984 to now. Before, you carried a nice friendly 38, now we have AR-15s in each of our vehicles. Policing has changed.

So we are going to, at this time, again, let all know, that this Village Board stands arm-in-arm with our community in support of and are appreciative of our Floral Park Police Department, its members and those who served in the past.

So, at this time, again, as I said, we are a deliberate body. We would like to get the transcript and have the

1	opportunity to read and assess and
2	understand what has been said this
3	evening; so, therefore, I would ask for
4	a motion to reserve decision on this
5	Local Law and close the Public Hearing.
6	TRUSTEE FITZGERALD: So moved.
7	TRUSTEE CHENG: Second.
8	MAYOR TWEEDY: Would you please
9	poll the Board, Ms. Walsh.
10	MS. WALSH: Trustee Fitzgerald?
11	TRUSTEE FITZGERALD: Aye.
12	MS. WALSH: Trustee Longobardi?
13	TRUSTEE LONGOBARDI: Aye.
14	MS. WALSH: Trustee Pombonyo?
15	TRUSTEE POMBONYO: Aye.
16	THE CLERK: Trustee Cheng?
17	TRUSTEE CHENG: Aye.
18	MS. WALSH: Mayor Tweedy?
19	MAYOR TWEEDY: Votes aye.
20	And just a final point, if I might.
21	As there were many discussions that
22	relate to a resolution, obviously,
23	procedurally, it would need to pass a
24	Local Law before any resolution could be
25	moved upon.

1 So, that's a procedural matter, 2 but, again, we will wait for the 3 transcript and at this point we are reserving decision. 4 5 So thank you very much for coming 6 in, ladies and gentlemen. Thank you 7 very much for coming out this evening. 8 We will be having a Board meeting 9 subsequent to this, at which point, we 10 certainly would open the podium for 11 anyone who would like to speak. 12 Again, thank you very much for coming out this evening. 13 14 CERTIFICATION: 15 16 I, Mary Anne Coppins, Court 17 Reporter, hereby certify that the above 18 transcript is a true and accurate copy 19 of the minutes taken by myself 20 stenographically in the within matter. 21 22 23 24 Mary Anne Coppins 25 Court Reporter